Page 1  IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 2
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel.  W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL  OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE  ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, in his capacity as the TURSTEE  FOR NATURAL RESOURCES FOR THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA,
Plaintiffs, 8
vs. 05-CV-0329 GKF-SAJ 9
TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON 10 POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC., 11 AVIAGEN, INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC., CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC., 12 CARGILL, INC., CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC, GEORGE'S, INC., 13 GEORGE'S FARMS, INC., PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC., 14 and WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC.,
15 Defendants.
16 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF SHANON PHILLIPS TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS 17 JANUARY 17, 2008, BEGINNING AT 9:00 A.M. IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 REPORTED BY: Laura L. Robertson, CSR, RPR

우

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES

2

- 3 On behalf of the PLAINTIFFS: Daniel Lennington
- 4 J. TREVOR HAMMONS Attorneys at Law
- 5 Oklahoma Attorney General's Office 313 Northeast 21st Street
- 6 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 405-521-3921
- 7 daniel.lennington@oag.ok.gov thammons@oag.state.ok.us

8

On behalf of the PLAINTIFFS:

- 9 Robert A. Nance, Attorney at Law RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS
- 10 5801 North Broadway Extension Suite 101
- 11 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118 405-843-9909
- 12 rgarren@riggsabney.com
- 13 On behalf of the DEFENDANT-TYSON FOODS:

Stephen L. Jantzen, Attorney at Law

14 RYAN WHALEY COLDIRON SHANDY

119 North Robinson.

15 Suite 900

Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102

16 405-239-6040

sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com

17

On behalf of the DEFENDANT-GEORGE'S, INC.

18 James M. Graves

**BASSETT LAW FIRM** 

19 221 North College Avenue

P.O. Box 3618

20 Fayetteville, Arkansas 72702

479-521-9996

21 jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com

22 On behalf of the DEFENDANT-CAL-MAINE FARMS:

Robert E. Sanders, Attorney at Law

23 YOUNG & WILLIAMS

P.O. Box 23059

24 601-3609013

rsanders@youngwilliams.com

25

우

1	Page 3 (APPEARANCES CONTINUED)	
3 Joh RHC 4 100 Suite 5 Tuls 918-	pehalf of the DEFENDANT-CARGILL, INC.: n H. Tucker, Attorney at Law DDES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE West 5th Street e 400 sa, Oklahoma 74103 -582-1173 ker@rhodesokla.com	
Phillip 8 MCD 320 S 9 Suite Tulsa 10 918-	behalf of the DEFENDANT-PETERSON FARMS: ip Hixon, Attorney at Law DANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD South Boston te 700 a, Oklahoma 74103 3-382-9200 on@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com	
On k 12 Bru CON 13 21 Okla 14 918	n behalf of the DEFENDANT-SIMMONS FOODS, INC.: Bruce W. Freeman, Attorney at Law DNNER & WINTERS 111 North Robinson, Suite 1700 klahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 118-586-5711 reeman@cwlaw.com	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

- 22 A. Well, I think the important thing to
- 23 remember about stream bank erosion is that it is
- 24 not -- it is part of the cycle that would provide
- 25 nutrients or bacteria to the stream. It wouldn't be

2

#### Page 62

- 1 the ultimate source of the bacteria or nutrients.
- 2 It would just be a storage for those
- 3 constituents that would then be released to the
- 4 stream.
- 5 Q. It is kind of part of best management
- 6 practices; correct?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Right. If you don't have stream bank
- 9 erosion, it is a substantial improvement to water
- 10 quality based upon the -- it is a buffer strip or some
- 11 area that filters out constituents prior to it
- 12 entering the waters; correct?
- 13 MR. LENNINGTON: Objection, form.
- 14 THE WITNESS: We do think stream bank
- 15 erosion has been shown to improve water quality in
- 16 some places.
- 17 MR. JANTZEN: We have been going for a
- 18 little bit, do you want to take a break?
- 19 MR. LENNINGTON: Sure.
- 20 (Short break)

Page 64

- 21 Q. (BY MR. JANTZEN) Welcome back. You
- 22 understands you're still under oath; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Has the Oklahoma conservation commission
- 25 ever determined that there is an eminent and

4

#### Page 63

- 1 substantial endangerment to public health and human
- 2 environment relating to waters of the Illinois River
- 3 Watershed?
- 4 MR. LENNINGTON: Object to the form.
- 5 THE WITNESS: No.
- 6 Q. (BYMR. JANTZEN) Would you agree that there
- 7 are locations in the Illinois River Watershed where
- 8 poultry litter is land applied and where it does not
- 9 contribute to water quality issues in waters in the
- 10 Illinois River Watershed?
- 11 A. I would agree that there may be the
- 12 potential for poultry litter to be land applied and
- 13 not contribute.
- 14 Q. Are you, as you sit here today, are you
- 15 aware of any poultry feeding operations in the
- 16 Illinois River Watershed that are in violation of
- 17 their animal Waste Mangagement plan?
- 18 A. I'm not aware of any, but that's not our
- 19 responsibility to monitor that.

Page 65

- 20 Q. Are you aware of any locations in the
- 21 Illinois River Watershed where poultry litter is
- 22 indirect contact with waters of the Illinois River
- 23 Watershed?
- A. Not at this time. I have heard antidotal
- 25 discussions about incidents where that occurred in the

7

# Page 64

- 1 past.
- 2 Q. And from whom did you hear that?
- 3 A. My division director, Dan Butler.
- 4 Q. As you sit here, you're not aware of any
- 5 place in the Illinois River Watershed where poultry
- 6 litter is indirect contact with waters of the Illinois
- 7 River Watershed?
- 8 A. In direct contact? No.
- 9 Q. Are you aware of any health advise [RAEZ]
- 10 proceed [HEUB] [G] contact by humans with waters in
- 11 the Illinois River Watershed, as you sit here today?
- 12 A. I'm not aware of any.
- 13 Q. Has the conservation commission ever studied
- 14 bacteria from non-point sources in the Illinois River
- 15 Watershed?
- 16 A. The conservation commission collected, has
- 17 collected limited bacteria samples in the Illinois
- 18 River Watershed.

Page 66